

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,576	11/21/2000	John F. Fairclough	TRO4-BK25	2130
21611	7590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER LLP 1920 MAIN STREET SUITE 1200 IRVINE, CA 92614-7230			WINTER, JOHN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/717,576	FAIRCLOUGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John M Winter	3621				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 March 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-11,16-19 and 23-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19 and 23-26</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,6,7 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
La Company						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Status

Claims 1,3-7 9-11, 16-19 and 23-26 remain pending

## Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on March 25, 2005 have been fully considered. The amended claims are rejected in view of the newly discovered references Walker et al. (US Patent 6,338,049).

The Simonoff (US Patent 6,611,351) has been withdrawn. See following rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pare, Jr. et al. (US Patent No 6,230,148) in view of Walker et al. (US Patent 6,338,049).

As per claim 1,

Pare Jr. et al. ('148) discloses a method for printing and verifying checks over a network comprising the following steps:

connecting a client computer to a merchant server at a location remote from the client computer; (column 14, lines 34-42)

transmitting an order from the client computer to the merchant server; (figure 4) selecting payment by check; (figure 6)

connecting the client computer to a check server; (column 13, lines 66-67; column 14, lines 1-19)

inputting customer data at the client computer; (column 13, line 64)

transmitting customer data from the client computer to the check server; (column 13, line 66-67; column 14, lines 1-2)

transmitting customer data from the check server to a check verification

Art Unit: 3621

server; (column 15, line 1-7)

transmitting an approval from the check verification server to the check server (column 15, line 1-7)

Pare Jr. et al. ('148)does not explicitly disclose printing a check including data from the check server as a negotiable instrument at a remote location. Walker et al ('049) discloses printing a check including data from the check server as a negotiable instrument at a remote location. (Column 6, lines 9-20) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('148) method with the Walker et al ('049) method in order to allow the seller to generate physical proof of the transaction.

Page 3

As per claim 4,

Pare Jr. et al. ('148) discloses the method of Claim 1

Pare Jr. et al. ('148)does not explicitly disclose the check is printed by a secure printer connected to a check printing station at the remote location. Walker et al ('049) discloses the check is printed by a secure printer connected to a check printing station at the remote location (Figure 2C). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('148) method with the Walker et al ('049) method in order to allow the seller to generate physical proof of the transaction.

Claim 5,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pare, Jr. et al. (US Patent No 6,230,148) in view of Hills et al. (US Patent No 6,164,528)

As per claim 5,

Pare Jr. et al. ('148) discloses the method of Claim 1

Pare Jr. et al. ('148) does not explicitly disclose storing the approval from the check verification server in a merchant file in the check server; downloading the merchant file from the check server to a check printing station. Hills et al. ('528) discloses storing the approval from the check verification server in a merchant file in the check server; (Abstract) downloading the merchant file from the check server to a check printing station (Column 12, lines 54-67) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Pare Jr. et al. ('148) method with the Hills et al. ('528) method in order to protect the merchant from fraud caused by a customer with insufficient funds to cover the check.

As per claim 9, Pare Jr. et al. ('148) discloses the method of Claim 6 where the network is the Internet. (Figure 4)

As per claim 10,

Pare Jr. et al. ('148) discloses the method of Claim 6

Art Unit: 3621

where the approval comprises the customer data transmitted from the client computer to the check server. (column 15, line 1-7)

Page 4

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-19, 23-26 are allowable over the prior art record.

Claims 3,6,7 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (571) 272-6712. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair">http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

### Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"] (703) 308-1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Art Unit: 3621

Page 5

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.

JMW May 29, 2005

JOHN W. HAYES

PRIMARY EXAMINER